

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/809,662	STICE, STEVEN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.	1632	

All Participants:

(1) Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.

(2) Clark Sullivan.

Date of Interview: 18 December 2003

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

250

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

I told Mr. Clark that claim 250 depended from claim 216 which was canceled. Mr. Clark requested that 216 be changed to 247. Note that this suffices for the requirement in part III checked below.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:15 AM


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)